From:

East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two To:

Cc:

Subject: Subject: DEADLINE 6 Submission PINS Ref: EA1N- EN010077 and EA2 - EN010078

21 February 2021 19:37:54 Date:

D.I Trower Ltd

Email: EastAnglaOneNorth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk and EastAngliaTwo@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Subject: DEADLINE 6 Submission

PINS Ref: EA1N- EN010077 and EA2 - EN010078

My Ref ID No and

Dear Examining Authority

I would like to respond to Action Point 2. The Planning Balance from Open Floor Hearing 5

I call upon the Examining Authorities to recommend to the Secretary of State a 'split decision' so that:

The offshore turbines are recommended for consent. (We are fully supportive of renewable energy and have no objections to the offshore elements of these DCO applications with the provise all installations are acceptable to stakeholder concerns over the statutory purposes of the ACNB affected by these proposals).

The onshore infrastructure is rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations for this infrastructure where the adverse impacts are minimised at a brownfield site.

As an interested Party, I have participated throughout the course of the Hearings and I think one thing has become clear, the adverse impacts of this particular onshore site licoston substantially outsets; the benefits of the application when taken as a whole. The impact on our renvironment and the local communities and economy would be deviatating but importantly needlessly devastating. There are attendance sites available which could avoid this destruction by their virtue of being at a brownfeel site.

These Applications have come at an unprecedented time of consensus around the importance of offshore wind in reducing the UK's carbon emissions and meeting the government's 2000 offshore wind targets. They have also come at an unprecedented time of consensus around the acutely detrinental impacts of radial connections which these Applications propose. There are still 8 years to go until the Government's 2000 offshore wind targets. There is time for Scottish-Power Renewables, National Crist and the Department for Wisuniese Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) to get this planning Application right without jeopardising these important targets.

A 'split decision' would mean that no time is wasted with respect to the construction of the offshore turbines but would give the opportunity to rethink the onshore aspects of this project to fall in line with current government aspirations.

"We will safeguard our cherished landscapes, restore habitats for wildlife in order to combat biodiversity loss and adapt to climate change, all whilst creating green jobs."

"To minimise the impact on local communities, we will implement a more efficient approach to connecting offshore generation to the mainland grid,"

The Einergy White Paper

Email: EastAnoliaOneNorth@clanninginspectorate.gov.uk and EastAnoliaTwo@clanninginspectorate.gov.uk

Subject: DEADLINE 6 Submission

PINS Ref: EA1N- EN010077 and EA2 - EN010078

My Ref ID No and

Dear Examining Authority

I would like to respond to Action Point 2, The Planning Balance from Open Floor Hearing 5

I call upon the Examining Authorities to recommend to the Secretary of State a 'split decision' so that:

 The offshore turbines are recommended for consent. (We are fully supportive of renewable energy and have no objections to the offshore elements of these DCO applications with the proviso all installations are acceptable to stakeholder concerns over the statutory purposes of the ACNB affected by these proposals).
 The onshore infrastructure is rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations for this

infrastructure where the adverse impacts are minimised at a brownfield site.

As an Interested Party, I have participated throughout the course of the Hearings and I think one thing has become clear, the adverse impacts of this particular onshore site location substantially outweigh the benefits of the application when taken as a whole. The impact on our environment and the local communities and economy would be deviatating but importantly needlessly deviatating. There are alternative sites available which could avoid this destruction by their virtue of being at a howerhead site.

These Applications have come at an unprecedented time of consensus around the importance of offshore wind in reducing the UK's carbon emissions and meeting the government's 2030 offshore wind targets. They have also come at an unprecedented time of consensus around the acutely detrimental impects of radial connections which these Applications pose. There are still 9 years to go until the Government's 2030 offshore wind targets. There is time for SoottishPower Renewables. National Girld and the Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy (IBEIS) to get this planning Application right without its logarithing these important targets.

A 'split decision' would mean that no time is wasted with respect to the construction of the offshore turbines but would give the opportunity to rethink the onshore aspects of this project to fall in line with current government aspirations.

"We will safeguard our cherished landscapes, restore habitats for wildlife in order to combat biodiversity loss and adapt to climate change, all whilst creating green jobs."

"To minimise the impact on local communities, we will implement a more efficient approach to connecting offshore generation to the mainland grid."

The Energy White Paset

Kind regards